

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,703	06/20/2006	Woo-Chul Jung	1455-061783	3491
28289 7590 11/14/2008 THE WEBB LAW FIRM, P.C. 700 KOPPERS BUILDING 436 SEVENTH AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			AVILA, STEPHEN P	
PITTSBURGH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/583 703 JUNG ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Stephen Avila 3617 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 September 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7.9-17 and 19-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-7.9.10.17 and 19-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 12-15 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/583,703 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be neglatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2 Claims 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leffler et al in view of McCallum and Tsuchikawa. Leffler et al disclose the basic claimed structure and method of treating ballast water in a ship including a ballast tank26, an electrolyzer 16 and a pump. Not disclosed by Leffler et al is a second pump and an ac/dc controller. McCallum teaches a controller to provide NaOCI in various densities (note the Abstract which discloses a regular and emergency system). Tsuchikawa teaches a DC voltage supply to an electrolyzer and density control with sensors (note column 3, line 36, and column 3, last paragraph, for example). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Leffler et al with an electrolysis controller as taught by McCallum for improved user control of the system. Additionally, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Leffler et al with a DC voltage supply to the electrolyzer as taught by Tsuchikawa for improved production. Further it would have been an obvious choice of engineering design to form the ballast tank in the lower portion of the ship for improved stability by lowering the vessel center of gravity. The combination combines known features to achieve predictable results.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9, 10, 17 and 19-21 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,703

Art Unit: 3617

4. Claims 12-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/12/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant alleges that none of the references teach adjusting the density of the water. However, Tsuchikawa et al disclose a system with electrolyzed water that is diluted (note the Abstract, for example).
- 6. Applicant further alleges that the combination of Leffler et al and Tsuchikawa et al is not obvious. However, a person of ordinary skill in the art of designing ballast tank electrolyzer systems is highly knowledgeable, with many years of experience and advanced degrees. Such a person would have found the combination to have been obvious for improving the final quality of the water released from the ballast tank of the vessel.
- THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/583,703

Art Unit: 3617

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Avila whose telephone number is 571-272-6678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8 AM to 530 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Stephen Avila Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617 Application/Control Number: 10/583,703

Page 5

Art Unit: 3617